

## CALIFORNIA'S FARMS FACE GROWING WATER MANAGEMENT CHALLENGES


California is an agricultural powerhouse—the nation’s largest farm state and a world market leader, with 2012 sales of \$48 billion. California’s dry summers make irrigation essential. To irrigate more than nine million acres of crops, farmers use about 40 percent of California’s available water, compared with 10 percent used in cities. The remaining half is categorized as environmental water.

Farmers have steadily improved productivity and shifted to crops like fruits, nuts, and vegetables that generate more revenue and profit per unit of water. Adjusted for inflation, the value of farm output has more than doubled since the late 1960s despite little change in acreage or irrigation water used. But California’s nonfarm sectors have grown faster, so agriculture is now less than 2 percent of the state economy. Because California dominates the national market for many fruits, nuts, and vegetables, prices of these crops can rise as irrigation water becomes scarcer.

Water is a perennial concern. Many farmers get surface water from federal, state, and local projects. Many also pump groundwater. In some regions, overused groundwater reserves have been shrinking for decades. Since the 1980s, environmental regulations have limited—and sometimes cut—the surface water supply, thereby encouraging more groundwater pumping.

The latest drought has exposed farming’s growing vulnerability to water shortages, particularly where groundwater reserves are inadequate. Climate change is expected to make severe droughts more likely. New groundwater legislation, local initiatives, and Proposition 1—the state water bond approved in November 2014—provide opportunities to strengthen water management.

### THE VALUE OF FARM WATER IS RISING, BUT FARMING IS DECLINING AS A SHARE OF THE ECONOMY



SOURCE: Author calculations using data from the U.S. Bureau of Economic Analysis (GDP) and the California Department of Water Resources (water and land use).

NOTES: GDP is California’s gross domestic product, adjusted for inflation. Irrigated crop acreage includes land used for multiple crops within a year. Farm water use is the amount applied to fields. Net water use—the volume consumed by plants, evaporated, or discharged to saline waters—is lower, but reliable long-term estimates are unavailable. Pre-2000 water use estimates are adjusted to levels that would have been used in a year of normal rainfall. Estimates from 2000 onward are for actual use in years with near-normal precipitation. Estimates omit conveyance losses and active groundwater recharge.

## FARM WATER USE IS CHANGING

California farmers respond continually to changing market and technological opportunities. These adaptations have boosted earnings and raised the value of scarce farm water supplies. But they have also brought new challenges.

- **Acreage is shifting toward higher-revenue—but less flexible—crops.**

California farmers have shifted markedly to fruits, nuts, vegetables, and nursery crops, which made up roughly 47 percent of irrigated crop acreage, 38 percent of farm water use, and 86 percent of crop revenue in 2012. By comparison, forage crops, such as alfalfa and corn silage—inputs for the important dairy and cattle industries—generate less revenue per unit of water. In the water-limited San Joaquin Valley, orchards grew from 34 percent to 40 percent of irrigated cropland between 2000 and 2010. The rise in fruit and especially nut orchards—which must be watered every year—has reduced farmers’ ability to withstand intermittent water shortages.


- **Water delivery and field irrigation efficiencies are rising.**

Many irrigation districts have been upgrading delivery systems to provide more flexible service and minimize canal spills and seepage. Farmers have been switching from flood irrigation to drip and sprinkler systems, which improve crop yields and quality and reduce the application of harmful chemicals. However, in some regions—especially the San Joaquin Valley—these water management upgrades, including canal lining, have the unintended consequence of lowering groundwater levels. That is because irrigation water not consumed by crops is a major source of groundwater recharge.

- **Groundwater is becoming more important . . . and more threatened.**

San Joaquin Valley farmers have been pumping more groundwater to replace surface water previously shipped through the Sacramento–San Joaquin Delta or diverted from the San Joaquin River, as both sources have decreased to support endangered fish habitats. Groundwater is also being used to establish new orchards in previously unirrigated areas that lack surface water. High returns on orchard crops have made it profitable for farmers to invest in deeper wells, aggravating groundwater depletion. Groundwater quality is also falling in many areas, threatening crop yields and drinking water.

### CALIFORNIA HAS A DIVERSE CROP MIX, WITH WIDE VARIATIONS IN REVENUE AND WATER USE



SOURCE: Author calculations using data from the California Department of Water Resources (2010 water use) and the National Agricultural Statistics Service (2012 crop prices). Revenues are estimated using the Statewide Agricultural Production (SWAP) model.

NOTES: Total 2010 net water use for crops was 20.2 million acre-feet (maf), versus 29 maf applied to fields. Total 2012 crop revenues were \$36.4 billion; dairy cattle and milk brought in \$6.9 billion and other animal production brought in \$2 billion.

## CALIFORNIA NEEDS TO MANAGE FARM WATER FOR THE LONG TERM

California will continue to be an agricultural leader, but it must continue to adapt and focus on sustainably managing both water quantity and quality.

- **The latest drought has exposed strengths and vulnerabilities.**

During the critically dry year of 2014, surface water deliveries to Central Valley farmers fell by a third, with reductions varying hugely depending on location. The drought caused hardship in some farm communities. About half a million acres were fallowed, some \$2 billion was lost, and 17,000 full- and part-time jobs disappeared. But the economic losses would have been far greater if farmers had been unable to pump much more groundwater than usual or buy water from other farmers. (Groundwater pumping replaced roughly 75% of the lost surface water.)

- **Better groundwater management is a top priority.**

Groundwater is California agriculture's largest dry-year water reserve. But long-term declines in groundwater levels will limit its availability in many farming areas. The Sustainable Groundwater Management Act of 2014 authorizes local water users to create basin-level management agencies with the ability to monitor, manage, and charge for groundwater pumping and recharge. The legislation authorizes the state to step in if local water users fail to put in place sustainable management plans. Implementation—which can include increasing recharge and/or reducing pumping—will likely require the measurement of pumping and the allocation of pumping rights to groundwater users. In contrast, today's rules generally allow landowners to pump without quantity restrictions.

- **Better integration of surface water and groundwater management is key.**

Many irrigation districts already manage surface and groundwater resources jointly to encourage groundwater basin replenishment in wet years. These local efforts need to increase. For instance, basins can be recharged with recycled wastewater from neighboring urban areas or by allowing floodwaters to spread on fields normally watered by drip irrigation. State actions also matter, given the importance of imported surface water in many regions. By shifting the timing of reservoir releases, agencies can increase the availability of surface water for underground storage. Investments in new storage and conveyance can increase system flexibility and boost water supplies.

- **Water markets provide essential flexibility.**

California farmers have been active participants in the state's water market for more than two decades. This market has supported productive farming areas that lack reliable supplies of their own, and it has helped to keep orchards alive during the latest drought. Markets also make water available for the environment and growing urban areas, while providing revenue to farmers who sell water. Localized trading of groundwater pumping rights can help basins implement the new groundwater law by allowing farmers who need more water for their orchards to compensate other farmers for reducing use.

- **Agricultural stewardship can do more to support the environment.**

Further improvements in the management of agricultural chemicals and drainage will reduce harmful discharges. Beneficial on-farm practices that provide habitat for California's fish and wildlife—already common in some areas—also merit expansion. Programs may be warranted to compensate farmers for providing habitat services on these lands.

## LOOKING AHEAD

Farmers and irrigation districts are the frontline stewards of agriculture's future, but the state and federal governments can provide technical, regulatory, and financial support to help California agriculture adapt to changing conditions.

**Support local groundwater management efforts.** Proposition 1 provides \$100 million to help implement the new groundwater law. Additional legislation may be needed to support allocation of pumping rights. These rights should be tied to recharge sources. All landowners should share the recharge from natural precipitation, while irrigation districts should retain rights to water they bring into the basin. Local plans will also need to reduce pumping rights when farmers make efficiency upgrades to keep these investments from reducing long-term supplies.

**Improve information flows.** Data on groundwater use and other key information about agricultural water management are still fragmented—and in some areas rudimentary. California also lags behind some other western states in using advanced technology, such as remote sensing, that can support or replace sometimes-costly on-the-ground data collection.

**Strengthen and streamline water markets.** State and federal agencies have expedited water transfers during the latest drought. However, California would benefit greatly from clarifying the conditions under which water transfers can be carried out without causing harm to the environment or other water users, and from simplifying the approval process.

**Develop funding sources to improve water reliability.** Proposition 1 will provide up to \$2.7 billion to fund the public benefits of new surface and groundwater storage, including ecosystem, recreation, and flood protection improvements. Water tunnels beneath the Delta are another key infrastructure project under consideration. Tunnels would be expensive, but for some farmers and urban residents, the greater reliability and quality improvement of water supplies this project would bring may make it worth their money.

**Support transitions for farmworker communities.** Proposition 1 and some federal programs can help fund safe drinking water for rural communities where groundwater is contaminated by nitrate from fertilizer and manure applied to fields. Many of these communities are also losing jobs as farm technology becomes more mechanized—for example, with nut and tomato crops. The state has provided emergency financial and food assistance to farmworker communities during the latest drought. Beyond that, the state should support workforce development to ease the long-term economic shift in farm communities.

## Contact a PPIC expert:

Ellen Hanak      Jay Lund  
hanak@ppic.org      jrlund@ucdavis.edu

## Contact a research network expert:

Charles Burt, cburt@calpoly.edu  
Richard Howitt, howitt@primal.ucdavis.edu  
Josué Medellín-Azuara, jmedellin@ucdavis.edu  
Doug Parker, doug.parker@ucop.edu  
Daniel Sumner, dasumner@ucdavis.edu  
David Zoldoske, davidzo@csufresno.edu

## Read more:

- CLIMATE CHANGE AND WATER
- MANAGING DROUGHTS
- PAYING FOR WATER
- PREPARING FOR FLOODS
- THE SACRAMENTO–SAN JOAQUIN DELTA
- STORING WATER
- WATER FOR CITIES
- WATER FOR THE ENVIRONMENT
- WATER FOR FARMS

This series is funded by the S. D. Bechtel, Jr. Foundation.



The PPIC Water Policy Center spurs innovative water management solutions that support a healthy economy, environment, and society—now and for future generations.

The Public Policy Institute of California is dedicated to informing and improving public policy in California through independent, objective, nonpartisan research. We are a public charity. We do not take or support positions on any ballot measure or on any local, state, or federal legislation, nor do we endorse, support, or oppose any political parties or candidates for public office. Research publications reflect the views of the authors and do not necessarily reflect the views of the staff, officers, or Board of Directors of the Public Policy Institute of California.

Public Policy Institute of California  
500 Washington Street, Suite 600  
San Francisco, CA 94111  
T 415 291 4400 F 415 291 4401  
ppic.org/water

PPIC Sacramento Center  
Senator Office Building  
1121 L Street, Suite 801  
Sacramento, CA 95814  
T 916 440 1120 F 916 440 1121

